



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/698,694	10/27/2000	Daniel J. Pugh	032001-074	6119
	7590 07/29/2003 EDWIN H. TAYLOR				
				EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			MAI, TAN V		
	SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	EGG ANGELL	5, 611 70023		2124	a
	•		•	DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	. Applicant(s)	PREG
Office Ashieu Oceanor (cm)	09/698,6	94	•	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	ú,T.	Group Art Unit WY	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on th cover s	heet beneath the o	correspondence add	resș-
P riod for Reply		J		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH	(S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by d Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	s, a reply within the state lefault, expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the app	atory minimum of thirty NTHS from the mailing dication to become ABA	(30) days will be conside date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	red timely. ion. 33).
Statu				-
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				:
☐ This action is FINAL.		·		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			to the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
♥ Claim(s) 1-22		is/are	pending in the applic	ation.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from cons	sideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are	rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s) 1 - 2 2			bject to restriction or	election
Application Papers			ement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •		ved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	bjected to by the Ex	aminer	•	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.			
ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119 (a)–(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	en received.		٠	
\square Certified copies of the priority documents have be	en received in Applic	ation No		
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	nents have been rec	eived		
in this national stage application from the Internat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
*Certified copies not received:	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- •
ttachment(s)				
	er No(s)	☐ Intervi w Sun	nmary, PTO-413	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape				
 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 		□ Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application	on, PTO-15
)-948		rmal Patent Application	-

Application/Control Number: 09/698,694

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6 and 12-22 are, drawn to a gold code generator, classified in class
 708, subclass 250+.
 - II. Claims 7-11 are, drawn to a system comprising at least one **reconfigurable chip** implementing a **gold code generator**, classified in class 717, subclass 140+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Each group of claims is directed to a separate invention. It is noted that the groups maybe belong to a system. However, this is not sufficient to prevent a restriction requirement.

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because subcombination is not essential to combination, i.e., independent claim 7 [of Group II] does NOT recite the detail features of independent claim 1 [of Group I]. The subcombination has separate utility such as a gold code generator.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 2124

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER